

Sharing this from a member with their permission.

Only 16% of CSA allegations are ever reported to law enforcement. Less than 4% ever lead to conviction due to statute of limitation laws and other factors. It takes 20 (M/F) to 40 years (M/M) on average for survivors of CSA to come forward. Law enforcement involvement is not needed to make meetings a safe space for women and children. There are ways to communicate regarding alleged offenders (as many have over the years) that protects people from libel.

In CA/AZ/NV/HI we have similar "common sense language" including this phrase: "We have a zero-tolerance policy for substantiated CSA risk (as evaluated by a qualified professional) among workers, elders, or friends and will abide by the law to protect children and their families. " This is a fat loophole that is being exploited in our region. If the workers will only act sometimes on people who are convicted we will be left with >96% of alleged offenders in meetings. If they will only act on people sometimes when survivors come forward to workers we will be left with >90% in meetings. If they send only some of them to risk assessment as has been the case in our region and they hide from the risk assessment person that the larger investigation and more information exists from other survivors through AFTT; then, they are not telling the WHOLE truth, and both you and the risk assessment person are prone to making a poor decision.

For example, in our region, an alleged man the workers said had 2 survivors(worker info), actually has over 26 (public/ AFTT info). They said he would likely be deemed "low risk" based on the 2 survivors and they would be asking if he can come back to meetings. Hence this common sense policy is in fact a fat loophole that is being exploited so it looks like something is being done, but in fact it is the same old dressed up differently.